

# TELEGRAM

Foreign Service of the  
United States of America

## OUTGOING

### STATE DEPT DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW

Exempted, EO 12958, 25X \_\_\_\_\_ SECRET  
 Declassify after \_\_\_\_\_ Classification

Control: 589

Declassify only with concurrence of \_\_\_\_\_  
 Declassify  Change/Classify to \_\_\_\_\_  
IPS by K. MARK-BURNS Date: 11/3/00  
Other Agency Action: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: DEC. 13, 1961  
2 AM

S/S-20

AMB-1  
MIN-1  
EF  
PF

ACTION: SECS-STATE WASHINGTON      SECTO 23 PRIORITY

INFO:      Ambassador MOSCOW 180  
            Ambassador BONN 350  
            Ambassador LONDON 549  
            USRBR BERLIN 196

DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
 Retain class'n  Change / classify to  
 With concurrence of STAT/ARR, FR, DSU  
 Declassify  In part and excise as shown  
EO 12958, Sec. 1.3 (a)  
FPC/HDR by 2-9  
Withdrawal No. 1313

OES-4

### LIMIT DISTRIBUTION:

Special quadripartite ministerial meeting called 9:45 this evening failed to reach agreement on common approach to negotiations. Session opened with circulation of text which had emerged from telecon President and de Gaulle. Text follows.

### BEGIN TEXT:

The NATO Council approves the proposal according to which diplomatic contacts with the Soviet Union would be undertaken to pursue the aims which the West is following to preserve the peace of the world. The Council hopes that these contacts may serve to determine whether a basis might be found for negotiations.

### END TEXT

In response to query from Secretary as to whether French now accepted idea that Ambassadorial Group would agree on line to be advanced through

these

S:NAValices

Authenticated by: Foy D. Kohler

Drafted by:  
M. J. Millenbrand:mh:all

SECRET  
Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS  
PROHIBITED UNLESS "AUTHORIZED"

/28/

# TELEGRAM

Foreign Service of the  
United States of America

OUTGOING

Charge:

SECRET  
Classification

Control: 589

- 2 -

Date:

these diplomatic contacts, Couve said this was not part of agreement as he understood it. He further explained that contacts would not be established in common. This had not been approved. French stood where they were in September when first diplomatic contacts made by Secretary.

Howe queried whether, if text adopted by NATO as part its communique, would all Four subscribe to it. He assumed French diplomatic move would not be ruled out if this were thought to be a good thing. Couve agreed but indicated French could say in advance they were not going to make any diplomatic move. In response to Secretary's question as to how query in NAC as to who would undertake diplomatic contacts could be answered, Couve responded that it would be better if Americans said we would than if French said we would not. That would satisfy everybody. Secretary indicated it did not satisfy us unless there were quadripartite participation in contacts, that is, to provide basis agreed position of Four Western Powers.

Howe said he assumed Four would all continue prepare materials together for individual who would undertake diplomatic contacts. Couve commented that Ministers always come back to distinction between diplomatic contacts by all or by one or the other. Howe observed that if ~~the~~ contacts

were

SECRET

REPRODUCED FROM THE COPY IN  
THE "SECRET" COLLECTION

# TELEGRAM

Foreign Service of the  
United States of America

OUTGOING

Charge:

SECRET  
Classification

Control: 589

- 3 -

Date:

were to be by one or the other it was all more important that preparation be agreed between Four. Couve said that this would amount to diplomatic contacts in common.

In response to Secretary's query as to whether France would vote for or against text in NAC tomorrow, Couve said they would vote for. This meant only that there were going to be diplomatic contacts aim of which would be to ascertain whether there were any basis for negotiations. Secretary said question arose whether person undertaking diplomatic contacts would be acting on basis of agreed Four-Power position or mediating between Moscow and Paris. Couve commented that this was not way to put question. Difficulties will be in Moscow. Home said his difficulty was that if Four wanted support in NATO there had to be some commitment, but there was no French commitment to participate or even to prepare position. Person undertaking explorations in Moscow must have agreed position on certain things such as question of contacts with GDR. Secretary asked whether if we say we will undertake diplomatic contacts, but only on basis of positions prepared in Ambassadorial Group, would French continue to participate in Ambassadorial Group. Couve said would continue to participate, but it would be a different matter if it were said that contacts were undertaken on behalf of all Governments.

This was

SECRET

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS  
PROHIBITED UNLESS AUTHORIZED

# TELEGRAM

Foreign Service of the  
United States of America

OUTGOING

---

Charge:

SECRET  
Classification

Control: 589

- 4 -

Date:

This was basic question which Foreign Ministers had been turning around and around since yesterday.

At this point Ministers went into private conversation.

RUSK

SECRET

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS  
PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

